Registry No. (1,3-COD)Fe(P(OMe)₃)₃, 59034-03-6; (1,3-COD)Fe(P(OEt)₃)₃, 59034-02-5; (1,3-COD)Fe(P(O-*i*-Pr)₃)₃, 59034-04-7; 1,5-COD, 111-78-4; Fe, 7439-89-6; P(OMe)₃, 121-45-9; Fe(COD)₂, 55823-33-1.

References and Notes

- P. L. Timms, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 14, 121 (1972).
 P. L. Timms, J. Chem. Educ., 49, 782 (1972).
 P. S. Skell, J. J. Havel, D. L. Williams-Smith, and M. J. McClinchey, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1098 (1972).
- F. W. S. Benfield, M. L. H. Green, J. S. Ogden, and D. Young, J. Chem. (4) Soc., Chem. Commun., 866 (1973). P. S. Skell, E. M. Van Dam, and M. P. Silvon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96,
- (5) 626 (1974).
- K. J. Klabunde and J. Y. F. Low, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 7674 (1974). R. Mackenzie and P. L. Timms, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 650 (7)(1974)
- Our calorimetric experiments have shown that excess $P(OMe)_3$ displaces both COD molecules from $Ni(1,5-COD)_2$ within the time of mixing at (8) 25 °C (to be published)
- (9) E. L. Muetterties and J. W. Rathke, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 850 (1974), have reported the preparation of pure $Fe[P(OMe)_3]_5$ by a wet reduction method.
- See ref 1, Figure 2. $(10)^{-1}$
- (11) Fe(COD)₂ decomposes above -20 °C.⁷
- (12) A satisfactory elemental analysis was obtained. The compound melted sharply in a sealed, evacuated tube and recrystallized on cooling.
- (13) Chemical shifts are in ppm, positive downfield from internal tetra-methylsilane for ¹H and ¹³C in C₆D₆ and negative downfield from external 85% H₃PO₄ for ³¹P.
- (14) E. K. von Gustorf and J. C. Hogan, *Tettrahedron Lett.*, 3191 (1968). (15) The lower field $-CH_2$ resonance was broader in both ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ and ${}^{1}H{}$
- spectra, probably reflecting a larger (unresolved) phosphorus coupling.
- C. A. Tolman, A. D. English, and L. E. Manzer, Inorg. Chem., 14, 2353 (16)(1975).
- (17)A square-pyramidal x-ray structure has been reported for (1,3-butadiene)Fe(CO)3 by O. S. Mills and G. Robinson, Acta Crystallogr., 16, 758 (1963).
- Computer program ARH2 supplied by Professor J. D. Roberts.
 C. A. Tolman, W. C. Seidel, and L. W. Gosser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 53 (1974).
- (20) An apparent steric effect was also seen in the mass spectra. The parent ion $(m/e\ 662)$ was very weak for (COD)Fe[P(OEt)_3]_3 while the highest m/e observed for (COD)Fe[P(O-i-Pr)_3]_3 was 208 [P(O-i-Pr)_3].
- (21) P. Meakin, A. D. English, S. D. Ittel, and J. P. Jesson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 1254 (1975).
- (22) A systematic study of steric and electronic contributions to transition states for intra- and intermolecular exchange will be submitted for publication shortly
- J. E. Arnet and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 2954 (1961).
- (24) E. K. von Gustorf, J. Buchkremer, Z. Pfajfer, and F.-W. Grevels, Angew. Chem., 83, 249 (1971).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C. 20057

Pentamolybdobis[cis-phosphatobis(ethylenediamine)aquocobalt(III)]. A "Neutral" Heteropoly Complex

Wonsuk Kwak and Michael T. Pope*

Received December 23, 1975

AIC50911B

We have recently reported some of the first examples of organic derivatives of heteropoly anions.^{1,2} Among the new complexes were pentamolybdobisphosphonates, $(RP)_2Mo_5O_{21}^{4-}$, that are structurally analogous³ to the corresponding molybdophosphate, 4 (OP)₂Mo₅O₂₁⁶⁻. In these heteropoly complexes the heteroatom, phosphorus, utilizes only three oxygens to bind to the oxometalate structure. The pentamolybdophosph(on)ate anions form rapidly and are hydrolytically stable at pH 4-5. Under these conditions, complexes where R contains an amino group are protonated, and the resulting heteropoly anions are zwitterionic, e.g., $[(H_3N^+C_2H_4P)_2Mo_5O_{21}^{4-}]^{2-}$. In this paper we describe the synthesis of an electrically neutral zwitterion of the same type in which the hetero group is a monodentate phosphate ligand of an inert coordination complex.



Figure 1. Infrared spectra, in KBr disks, of (a) $\{[Co(en)_2(H_2O) OPO_3_2Mo_5O_{15}$ +8H₂O and (b) Na₆ [P₂Mo₅O₂₃] +13H₂O.

Experimental Section

The complexes cis-[Co(en)₂(H₂O)(HPO₄)]ClO₄·0.256H₂O, $[Co(en)_2PO_4]$ ·H₂O, and *cis*- $[Co(en)_2(H_2O)_2](NO_3)_3$ were prepared as previously described.^{5,6} The last named complex was converted to the perchlorate salt by treatment with sodium perchlorate. Optical spectra of these complexes agreed with those reported.^{5,7}

Preparation of $[Co_2(en)_4(H_2O)_2P_2Mo_5O_{23}]$ ·8H₂O. The complex cis-[Co(en)₂(H₂O)(HPO₄)]⁺ was prepared by dissolving 0.56 g of [Co(en)₂PO₄]·H₂O (2 mmol) in 100 ml of water and adjusting the pH to 4.7 with dilute sulfuric acid.⁵ A solution containing 1.45 g of Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (6 mmol) in 20 ml of water was adjusted to pH 4.4 with dilute sulfuric acid. Both solutions were separately filtered to remove dust and the filtrates were chilled to 10-15 °C in an ice bath. The molybdate solution was added dropwise to the cobalt solution, keeping the pH of the mixture at 4.4-4.7 by the addition of sulfuric acid and keeping the temperature at 10-15 °C. A brick red precipitate began to form when ca. 2 ml of the molybdate solution had been added. After the final addition of the molybdate solution, the suspension was kept in a refrigerator for 2 h. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed successively with large amounts of water acidified to pH 4.5 and acetone, and air-dried. The dried product was red-violet and weighed 1.1 g. Anal. Calcd for $Co_2C_8N_8H_{32}P_2Mo_5O_{23}{}^{*}8H_2O$: C, 6.64; H, 3.62; N, 7.73; P, 4.28; Mo, 33.12; H₂O, 9.95. Found:⁸ C, 6.69; H, 3.63; N, 7.82; P, 4.41; Mo, 33.14; H₂O (weight loss at 110-115 °C), 11.26.

Results and Discussion

The complex is insoluble in water at pH 3–5 and in common polar and nonpolar solvents (alcohols, dimethyl sulfoxide, dimethylformamide, propylene carbonate, acetonitrile, nitromethane, benzene, etc.). At pH 6 or above the complex dissolves to give a clear solution of $[Co(en)_2PO_4]$ (maximum at 529 nm) and, presumably, MoO_4^{2-} ions. If this solution is reacidified to pH ca 4., the heteropoly complex is re-formed.

The analytical data support the formulation as a neutral zwitterion (or alternatively as a binuclear cobalt complex), $[(en)_2(H_2O)CoOPO_3(Mo_5O_{15})O_3POCo(H_2O)(en)_2]$ · 8H₂O [I].

The infrared spectrum of the complex in the metal-oxygen stretching region is shown in Figure 1, together with that of sodium pentamolybdodiphosphate, and is characteristic² of the

Table I. Visible Absorption Maxima of Some Cobalt Complexes

Complex	Maxima, nm	
	Nujol mull	Soln
$\{ [Co(en)_2(H_2O)OPO_3]_2Mo_5O_{15} \}$	508 ~375 sh ^a	
$[Co(en)_2(H_2O)OPO_3H]ClO_4$ aq	507 360	507 366
$[Co(en)_2PO_4] \cdot H_2O$	525	529 377
cis-[Co(en) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂]ClO ₄) ₃	$490 \sim 355 \text{ sh}$	492 359

^a sh shoulder.

 P_2Mo_5 moiety. Optical absorption data are given in Table Energies of the first d-d bands are generally reliable I. indicators of the constitution of the first coordination sphere of the cobalt(III) ion.⁹⁻¹¹ The data in Table I thus support formula I as $[CoN_4(aq)(monodentate phosphate)]$ rather than a salt such as $[Co(en)_2(H_2O)_2]_2[P_2Mo_5O_{23}]$. The salt formulation is also ruled out by the hydrolytic dissolution of the complex at pH 6 to give $[Co(en)_2(PO_4)]$.

We conclude that the complex formed is indeed a neutral zwitterionic species. The interconversion of [Co(en)₂PO₄] to cis-[Co(en)₂(H₂O)(OPO₃H)]⁺ in aqueous solution occurs rapidly at pH 5,⁵ and polymerization of molybdate is also rapid under these conditions. The resulting structure offers a number possibilities for isomerism, since both the cis-[Co(en)2- $(H_2O)(O-)$] and $P_2Mo_5O_{23}$ moieties are chiral. It is mildly surprising, and somewhat disappointing, that the resulting zwitterion is insoluble. We presume that the approximately linear charge arrangement, 2+...4-...2+, leads to a staggered crystal packing with a lattice energy dominated by large electrostatic terms.

Acknowledgment. This research has been supported by the Office of Naval Research through Contract No. N00014-75-C-0945.

Registry No. $\{[Co(en)_2(H_2O)OPO_3]_2Mo_5O_{15}\}, 58933-78-1;$ Na6[P2M05O23], 39475-33-7; [Co(en)2PO4], 19169-67-6; Na2MoO4, 14666-91-2.

References and Notes

- K. M. Barkigia, J. M. Rajković, M. T. Pope, and C. O. Quicksall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4146 (1975).
 W. Kwak, M. T. Pope, and T. F. Scully, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 5735 (arcs)
- (1975).
- J. K. Stalick and C. O. Quicksall, Inorg. Chem., 15, 1577 (1976). (3)
- (4) R. Strandberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 27, 1004 (1973).
 (5) S. F. Lincoln and D. R. Stranks, Aust. J. Chem., 21, 37 (1968).
- (6) F. P. Dwyer, A. M. Sargeson, and I. K. Reid, J. Am. Chem Soc., 85, 1215 (1963).
- J. Bjerrum and S. E. Rasmussen, Acta Chem. Scand., 6, 1265 (1952). (7) (8) C. H. N. and P analyses were by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville,
- D. S. McClure and P. J. Stephens, "Coordination Chemistry", A. E. Martell, Ed., Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, N.Y., 1971.
- (10) H. Yamatera, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 31, 95 (1958).
- (11) C. I. Lepadatu, Z. Phys. Chem. (Frankfurt am Main), 60, 205 (1968).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

Extension of Rate Correlations between Electrochemical and Chemical Electron-Transfer Processes to **Heteronuclear Reactions**

Michael J. Weaver

Received December 23, 1975

AIC50919L

Using an adiabatic theory of electron transfer that is expected to be applicable to outer-sphere reactions, Marcus¹ has shown that two relationships are expected to hold between the rates of such redox reactions in homogeneous solution and the corresponding reactions at inert-metal electrodes.

(a) The rate constants for an electrochemical exchange reaction k^{s}_{el} (measured at the standard potential E°) and the corresponding homogeneous self-exchange reaction k_{ex} are related by

$$\left(\frac{k_{\rm ex}}{Z_{\rm chem}}\right)^{1/2} \approx \left(\frac{k_{\rm el}^{\rm s}}{Z_{\rm el}}\right)$$

where Z_{chem} and Z_{el} are the homogeneous and heterogeneous collision frequencies, respectively.

(b) When a series of reactants is reduced (or oxidized) electrochemically and chemically, the ratio of the rate constants for these two pathways will be constant for the conditions of a constant metal-solution potential difference and a given coreactant, respectively.

In deriving the above relations, it is assumed that the work terms associated with the approach of the reactants (and products) are negligible or constant. Correlation between electrochemical and chemical electron-transfer rates has chiefly been made using (a).¹⁻⁴ Comparison (b) has been used only on a limited basis to compare the electrochemical and chemical reduction rates of some cobalt(III)-pentaammine complexes.⁵

A practical limitation on the application of (a) is the relative scarcity of rate data for homogeneous self-exchange reactions which is apparently due to the difficulties of obtaining data for such reactions. Further, for many electron-transfer reactions followed by rapid chemical steps (e.g., aquation), self-exchange data cannot be obtained and standard potentials for the reactions are unknown or can only be estimated with insufficient precision. Comparison (b) can still be employed for such reactions, although the rate comparisons are relative rather than absolute and require the acquisition of kinetic data for a series of reactions with a common reductant or oxidant.

However, a correlation similar in form to (a) can also be derived enabling a comparison to be made of the absolute rates of outer-sphere electrochemical processes with those for the corresponding heteronuclear⁶ homogeneous reactions (i.e., where a net chemical change occurs) as follows.

Consider the heteronuclear homogeneous reaction

$$\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2 \to \mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{B}_1 \tag{1}$$

and the corresponding electrochemical reactions

$$A_1 + e^- \to A_2 \tag{2a}$$

$$B_2 - e^- \rightarrow B_1 \tag{2b}$$

For reactions 2a and 2b, respectively, the following equations may be written according to Marcus theory¹ at overpotentials where the quadratic potential term can be neglected and under conditions where the double-layer work terms are negligible

$$\ln k_{\rm A} = \ln k^{\rm s}_{\rm A} - 0.5 f(E - E^{\rm o}_{\rm A})$$
(3a)

$$\ln k_{\rm B} = \ln k^{\rm s}_{\rm B} + 0.5 f(E - E^{\rm s}_{\rm B})$$
(3b)

 $k_{\rm A}$ and $k_{\rm B}$ are the rate constants for reactions 2a and 2b at potential E, k^{s}_{A} and k^{s}_{B} are the corresponding quantities measured at the standard potentials E°_{A} and E°_{B} for these reactions, and f = F/RT.

At an electrode potential where $\ln k_{\rm A} = \ln k_{\rm B} = \ln k^{\rm el}_{\rm AB}$, (3a) and (3b) may be combined to yield

$$\ln k^{\rm el}_{\rm AB} = 0.5(\ln k^{\rm s}_{\rm A} + \ln k^{\rm s}_{\rm B} + 0.5 \ln K_{\rm AB})$$
(4)

as $\ln K_{AB} = f(E^{\circ}_{A} - E^{\circ}_{B})$, where K_{AB} is the equilibrium constant for reaction 1. However, Marcus has shown that the relation

$$\ln k^{\rm cnem}{}_{\rm AB} = 0.5(\ln k_{\rm AA} + \ln k_{\rm BB} + \ln K_{\rm AB})$$
(5)